

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
STUDY AREA	ES-2
PURPOSE AND NEED FOR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS	ES-2
PURPOSE OF THE EIS AND SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION.....	ES-5
ALTERNATIVES	ES-6
NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE	ES-6
BEP ALTERNATIVE.....	ES-7
SVRTP ALTERNATIVE.....	ES-9
IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS FOR THE ALTERNATIVES	ES-12
PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	ES-91
NEXT STEPS.....	ES-91
PUBLIC CIRCULATION OF DRAFT EIS	ES-91
PREFERRED INVESTMENT STRATEGY/RECOMMENDED PROJECT ...	ES-92
PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION	ES-93
CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE AND NEED.....	1-1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1-1
1.1.1 SILICON VALLEY—ECONOMIC ENGINE OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA	1-1
1.1.2 THE TRANSPORTATION CHALLENGE	1-5
1.1.3 OVERVIEW OF THE SVRTC.....	1-6
1.2 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR PROJECT	1-8
1.2.1 PURPOSE OF PROPOSED TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS.....	1-8
1.2.2 ASSOCIATED NEEDS	1-9
1.3 PROJECT HISTORY.....	1-25
1.4 INTENDED USES OF THIS DOCUMENT.....	1-27
1.5 CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT	1-29
CHAPTER 2: ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1 ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	2-1
2.1.1 MAJOR INVESTMENT STUDY	2-1
2.1.2 DRAFT EIS/EIR AND FINAL AND SUPPLEMENTAL EIR	2-6
2.1.3 CURRENT EIS PHASE	2-7
2.2 NO BUILD AND BUILD ALTERNATIVE COMPARISONS	2-8
2.2.1 PURPOSE OF NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE	2-8

2.2.2	RATIONALE FOR TWO BUILD ALTERNATIVES.....	2-8
2.2.3	FORMAT FOR PRESENTING ALTERNATIVES AND THEIR IMPACTS	2-9
2.3	FUTURE NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE.....	2-9
2.3.1	TRANSIT SYSTEM	2-10
2.3.2	ROADWAY SYSTEM.....	2-15
2.3.3	PROJECT COSTS	2-18
2.4	BERRYESSA EXTENSION PROJECT ALTERNATIVE.....	2-19
2.4.1	ALIGNMENT AND STATION FEATURES BY CITY	2-19
2.4.2	BART AND VTA OPERATIONS	2-46
2.4.3	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT FEATURES.....	2-48
2.4.4	PROJECT COSTS	2-56
2.4.5	PROJECT SCHEDULE.....	2-56
2.5	SILICON VALLEY RAPID TRANSIT PROJECT ALTERNATIVE.....	2-58
2.5.1	ALIGNMENT AND STATION FEATURES BY CITY	2-58
2.5.2	BART AND VTA OPERATIONS	2-79
2.5.3	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT FEATURES.....	2-80
2.5.4	PROJECT COSTS	2-82
2.5.5	PROJECT SCHEDULE.....	2-83
2.6	RELATED PROJECTS.....	2-83
2.6.1	DEVELOPMENT RELATED PROJECTS	2-83
2.6.2	RELATED TRANSIT PROJECTS.....	2-87
2.6.3	OTHER RELATED TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS	2-89
2.6.4	RELATED WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS	2-93
2.7	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND WITHDRAWN.....	2-95
CHAPTER 3: TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT		3-1
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	3-1
3.2	IMPACT THRESHOLDS	3-1
3.3	TRANSIT	3-2
3.3.1	EXISTING CONDITIONS	3-2
3.3.2	No BUILD ALTERNATIVE (2030).....	3-6
3.3.3	BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-8
3.3.4	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-17
3.4	PARKING	3-26
3.4.1	EXISTING CONDITIONS	3-26
3.4.2	No BUILD ALTERNATIVE	3-27
3.4.3	BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-27
3.4.4	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-29
3.5	PEDESTRIANS	3-33
3.5.1	EXISTING CONDITIONS	3-33

3.5.2	No BUILD ALTERNATIVE	3-33
3.5.3	BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-34
3.5.4	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-34
3.6	BICYCLES.....	3-36
3.6.1	EXISTING CONDITIONS	3-37
3.6.2	No BUILD ALTERNATIVE	3-41
3.6.3	BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-44
3.6.4	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-46
3.7	VEHICULAR TRAFFIC.....	3-48
3.7.1	EXISTING ROADWAY SYSTEM.....	3-48
3.7.2	LEVEL OF SERVICE.....	3-54
3.7.3	EXISTING CONDITIONS.....	3-56
3.7.4	CRITERIA FOR ASSESSMENT OF ADVERSE AFFECTS	3-64
3.7.5	2030 No BUILD ALTERNATIVE	3-66
3.7.6	BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-99
3.7.7	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-119
3.7.8	MAINTENANCE YARD ANALYSIS	3-172
3.7.9	CONCLUSION.....	3-175
CHAPTER 4:	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4.1-1
4.1	AIR QUALITY	4.1-1
4.1.1	CLIMATE EFFECTS ON AIR QUALITY	4.1-1
4.1.2	AIR MONITORING DATA	4.1-2
4.1.3	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.1-5
4.1.4	AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY REQUIREMENTS.....	4.1-7
4.1.5	LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS AND POLICIES	4.1-9
4.2	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES AND WETLANDS.....	4.2-1
4.2.1	INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY.....	4.2-1
4.2.2	VEGETATION AND WILDLIFE COMMUNITIES	4.2-1
4.2.3	SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES	4.2-5
4.2.4	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.2-11
4.3	COMMUNITY SERVICES AND FACILITIES.....	4.3-1
4.3.1	POLICE, FIRE, AND EMERGENCY SERVICES.....	4.3-1
4.3.2	PUBLIC AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES	4.3-10
4.3.3	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.3-16
4.4	CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL RESOURCES.....	4.4-1
4.4.1	AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS.....	4.4-1
4.4.2	ARCHAEOLOGY.....	4.4-2
4.4.3	HISTORIC ARCHITECTURE	4.4-7
4.4.4	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.4-12
4.5	ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS	4.5-1

4.5.1	ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE.....	4.5-1
4.5.2	HEALTH CONCERNS.....	4.5-2
4.5.3	EXISTING ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS.....	4.5-3
4.5.4	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.5-4
4.6	ENERGY	4.6-1
4.6.1	INTRODUCTION	4.6-1
4.6.2	ENERGY USE	4.6-1
4.6.3	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.6-4
4.7	GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY.....	4.7-1
4.7.1	METHODOLOGY FOR IDENTIFYING EXISTING CONDITIONS...4.7-1	
4.7.2	GEOLOGY AND SOILS.....	4.7-1
4.7.3	SEISMICITY	4.7-2
4.7.4	LIQUEFACTION.....	4.7-4
4.7.5	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.7-8
4.8	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	4.8-1
4.8.1	METHODOLOGY	4.8-1
4.8.2	DESCRIPTION OF POTENTIAL CONTAMINANTS	4.8-5
4.8.3	CONTAMINANTS IDENTIFIED IN THE CORRIDOR	4.8-9
4.8.4	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.8-16
4.9	LAND USE	4.9-1
4.9.1	EXISTING LAND USES IN THE CORRIDOR.....	4.9-1
4.9.2	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS.....	4.9-12
4.10	NOISE AND VIBRATION	4.10-1
4.10.1	NOISE AND VIBRATION TERMINOLOGY	4.10-1
4.10.2	NOISE AND VIBRATION METHODOLOGY.....	4.10-8
4.10.3	NOISE AND VIBRATION MEASUREMENTS	4.10-9
4.10.4	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.10-9
4.11	SECURITY AND SYSTEM SAFETY	4.11-1
4.11.1	VTA AND OTHER TRANSIT FACILITIES	4.11-1
4.11.2	BART FACILITIES	4.11-1
4.11.3	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.11-3
4.12	SOCIOECONOMICS.....	4.12-1
4.12.1	POPULATION	4.12-1
4.12.2	HOUSING	4.12-2
4.12.3	JOBS AND EMPLOYMENT	4.12-3
4.12.4	INCOME	4.12-6
4.12.5	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE CONSIDERATIONS	4.12-6
4.13	UTILITIES.....	4.13-1
4.13.1	UTILITIES IN PROJECT CORRIDOR	4.13-1
4.13.2	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.13-5

4.14	VISUAL QUALITY AND AESTHETICS	4.14-1
4.14.1	METHODOLOGY FOR ASSESSING EXISTING CONDITIONS ..	4.14-1
4.14.2	CORRIDOR VISUAL CHARACTER.....	4.14-2
4.14.3	VISUAL ANALYSIS AREA VISUAL CHARACTER	4.14-3
4.14.4	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.14-6
4.15	WATER RESOURCES	4.15-1
4.15.1	INTRODUCTON	4.15-1
4.15.2	SURFACE WATERS	4.15-1
4.15.3	FLOODPLAINS.....	4.15-7
4.15.4	GROUNDWATER.....	4.15-19
4.15.5	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4.15-20
CHAPTER 5:	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	5.1-1
5.1	AIR QUALITY	5.1-1
5.1.1	THRESHOLDS	5.1-1
5.1.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION	5.1-2
5.1.3	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.1-19
5.2	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES AND WETLANDS.....	5.2-1
5.2.1	INTRODUCTION	5.2-1
5.2.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION	5.2-1
5.2.3	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.2-7
5.3	COMMUNITY SERVICES AND FACILITIES.....	5.3-1
5.3.1	INTRODUCTION	5.3-1
5.3.2	METHODOLOGY	5.3-1
5.3.3	IMPACT DISCUSSION	5.3-1
5.3.4	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.3-6
5.4	CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL RESOURCES.....	5.4-1
5.4.1	INTRODUCTION	5.4-1
5.4.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION	5.4-2
5.4.3	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.4-12
5.5	ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS	5.5-1
5.5.1	INTRODUCTION	5.5-1
5.5.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION	5.5-1
5.5.3	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.5-4
5.6	ENERGY	5.6-1
5.6.1	IMPACT DISCUSSION	5.6-1
5.7	GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY.....	5.7-1
5.7.1	INTRODUCTION	5.7-1
5.7.2	METHODOLOGY	5.7-1
5.7.3	IMPACT DISCUSSION	5.7-1
5.7.4	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.7-3

5.8	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	5.8-1
5.8.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5.8-1
5.8.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	5.8-1
5.8.3	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.8-8
5.9	LAND USE.....	5.9-1
5.9.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5.9-1
5.9.2	METHODOLOGY.....	5.9-1
5.9.3	IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	5.9-2
5.9.4	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.9-22
5.10	NOISE AND VIBRATION.....	5.10-1
5.10.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5.10-1
5.10.2	NOISE IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	5.10-6
5.10.3	GROUND-BORNE NOISE AND VIBRATION IMPACTS.....	5.10-45
5.10.4	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.10-81
5.11	SECURITY AND SYSTEM SAFETY.....	5.11-1
5.11.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5.11-1
5.11.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	5.11-1
5.11.3	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.11-3
5.12	SOCIOECONOMICS.....	5.12-1
5.12.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5.12-1
5.12.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	5.12-1
5.12.3	RELOCATION PROGRAMS/REQUIREMENTS.....	5.12-9
5.12.4	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FINDINGS.....	5.12-10
5.12.5	CONCLUSION.....	5.12-20
5.13	UTILITIES.....	5.13-1
5.13.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5.13-1
5.13.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	5.13-1
5.13.3	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.13-2
5.14	VISUAL QUALITY AND AESTHETICS.....	5.14-1
5.14.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5.14-1
5.14.2	METHODOLOGY FOR EVALUATING ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	5.14-1
5.14.3	POTENTIAL IMPACTS.....	5.14-2
5.14.4	IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	5.14-4
5.14.5	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.14-28
5.15	WATER RESOURCES.....	5.15-1
5.15.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5.15-1
5.15.2	IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	5.15-1
5.15.3	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5.15-9
CHAPTER 6:	CONSTRUCTION.....	6-1

6.1	PRECONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.....	6-1
6.1.1	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS	6-1
6.1.2	FINAL DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS.....	6-2
6.1.3	CONSTRUCTION EDUCATION AND OUTREACH PLAN	6-2
6.1.4	PRE-CONSTRUCTION BUSINESS SURVEY.....	6-3
6.1.5	LAND AND EASEMENT ACQUISITION.....	6-3
6.1.6	ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS AND APPROVALS	6-3
6.1.7	PROCUREMENT OF THE TUNNEL BORING MACHINES.....	6-3
6.2	MAJOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.....	6-4
6.2.1	CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE	6-4
6.2.2	UTILITY RELOCATION	6-4
6.2.3	LINE SEGMENT CONSTRUCTION.....	6-8
6.2.4	TUNNEL PORTALS, UNDERGROUND STATIONS, AND MID- TUNNEL VENTILATION STRUCTURES.....	6-24
6.2.5	TUNNEL BORES AND CROSS PASSAGES	6-32
6.2.6	ABOVEGROUND STATIONS AND FACILITIES.....	6-44
6.2.7	INSTALLATION OF SYSTEMS	6-46
6.2.8	YARD AND SHOPS.....	6-47
6.2.9	VEHICLE PROCURMENT.....	6-48
6.2.10	START-UP AND COMMISSIONING	6-48
6.2.11	CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREAS.....	6-48
6.2.12	TRUCK HAUL ROUTES.....	6-62
6.3	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS.....	6-73
6.3.1	TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT	6-73
6.3.2	AIR QUALITY	6-81
6.3.3	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES AND WETLANDS	6-84
6.3.4	COMMUNITY SERVICES AND FACILITIES	6-91
6.3.5	CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES.....	6-92
6.3.6	ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS	6-94
6.3.7	ENERGY	6-94
6.3.8	GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY	6-95
6.3.9	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	6-97
6.3.10	LAND USE.....	6-104
6.3.11	NOISE AND VIBRATION	6-105
6.3.12	SECURITY AND SYSTEM SAFETY	6-131
6.3.13	SOCIOECONOMICS & ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	6-131
6.3.14	UTILITIES	6-138
6.3.15	VISUAL QUALITY AND AESTHETICS	6-140
6.3.16	WATER RESOURCES.....	6-140

CHAPTER 7:	FINAL SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION	7-1
7.1	INTRODUCTION	7-1
7.1.1	SECTION 4(F)	7-1
7.1.2	SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 106.....	7-2
7.2	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	7-2
7.2.1	PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED	7-2
7.2.2	DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	7-3
7.2.3	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND WITHDRAWN (POTENTIAL AVOIDANCE ALTERNATIVES)	7-6
7.3	SECTION 4(F) PROPERTIES	7-7
7.3.1	UNRECORDED ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	7-7
7.3.2	HISTORICAL AND RECREATIONAL RESOURCES	7-8
7.4	IMPACTS TO SECTION 4(F) RESOURCES	7-24
7.4.1	IMPACTS TO ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	7-25
7.4.2	IMPACTS TO HISTORICAL AND RECREATIONAL RESOURCES.....	7-26
7.5	AVOIDANCE ALTERNATIVES	7-39
7.5.1	ALTERNATIVES TO AVOID USE	7-39
7.5.2	ALTERNATIVE CAUSING THE LEAST OVERALL HARM	7-43
7.5.3	PLANNING TO REDUCE HARM	7-45
7.6	PROPOSED FINDING	7-48
CHAPTER 8:	BART CORE SYSTEM PARKING ANALYSIS	8-1
8.1	INTRODUCTION	8-1
8.2	BART STATION PARKING POLICY	8-4
8.3	CORE SYSTEM PARKING DEMAND.....	8-5
8.3.1	PARKING DEMAND ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES.....	8-5
8.3.2	METHODOLOGY	8-6
8.4	IMPACT DISCUSSION.....	8-7
8.4.1	TRAFFIC VOLUMES	8-8
8.4.2	AIR QUALITY	8-8
8.4.3	NOISE.....	8-9
8.4.4	VISUAL	8-9
8.4.5	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	8-9
8.4.6	SOCIOECONOMIC.....	8-10
8.4.7	LAND USE.....	8-10
8.4.8	HYDROLOGY	8-10
8.4.9	STORMWATER	8-10
8.4.10	CONSTRUCTION.....	8-10
CHAPTER 9	FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS	9-1
9.1	INTRODUCTION	9-1

9.1.1	VOTER-APPROVED INITIATIVES SUPPORTING THE FINANCIAL PLAN	9-2
9.2	CAPITAL COSTS	9-3
9.2.1	CAPITAL COSTS FOR BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES	9-3
9.3	OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COSTS	9-7
9.3.1	VTA OPERATED AND ASSISTED TRANSIT SERVICES (NON-BART)	9-8
9.3.2	VTA COSTS FOR BART EXTENSION SERVICE UNDER BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES	9-10
9.3.3	NET ANNUAL O&M COSTS IN 2030: ALL VTA SERVICES	9-13
9.4	FINANCIAL EVALUATION OF BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES	9-15
9.4.1	CAPITAL COST FUNDING	9-15
9.4.2	VTA BUS, BRT AND LRT O&M COSTS FUNDING	9-18
9.4.3	BART EXTENSION O&M COST FUNDING	9-19
9.4.4	POTENTIAL NEW FUNDING SOURCES	9-20
9.5	FUNDING ISSUES AND RISK ANALYSIS	9-21
CHAPTER 10:	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	10-1
PART 1		10-2
10.1	OVERVIEW OF EVALUATION METHODOLOGY FOR EVALUATING BEP AND SVRTP PERFORMANCE	10-2
10.2	SUMMARY OF BEP AND SVRTP PERFORMANCE: LOCALLY ESTABLISHED EVALUATION CRITERIA	10-4
10.2.1	MOBILITY IMPROVEMENTS	10-4
10.2.2	ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS/ADVERSE EFFECTS	10-9
10.2.3	OPERATING EFFICIENCIES	10-13
10.2.4	LAND USE	10-15
10.2.5	LOCAL FINANCIAL COMMITMENT AND PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE	10-20
10.2.6	SUMMARY	10-22
PART 2		10-22
10.3	NEW STARTS EVALUATION CRITERIA	10-22
10.3.1	NEW STARTS CHANGES TO PROJECT DEFINITION	10-22
10.4	NEW STARTS EVALUATION CRITERIA	10-25
10.4.1	BASELINE ALTERNATIVE	10-27
10.4.2	RESULTS OF NEW STARTS EVALUATION	10-36
10.4.3	MOBILITY IMPROVEMENTS	10-37
10.4.4	ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS	10-38
10.4.5	OPERATING EFFICIENCIES	10-39
10.4.6	COST EFFECTIVENESS	10-40
10.4.7	OTHER FACTORS	10-42
10.4.8	LOCAL FINANCIAL COMMITMENT	10-45

CHAPTER 11: AGENCY AND COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION11-1

11.1 SUMMARY OF MAJOR INVESTMENT STUDY/
ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS11-1

11.2 SUMMARY OF SCOPING..... 11-2

11.2.1 REVISED DRAFT AND FINAL EIS.....11-2

11.2.2 2007 SUPPLEMENTAL EIR 11-3

11.2.3 2004 DRAFT EIS/EIR AND FINAL EIR11-4

11.3 SUMMARY OF PUBLIC AGENCY COORDINATION 11-5

11.3.1 AGENCY COMMITTEES11-5

11.3.2 AGENCY CONSULTATIONS RELATED TO NEPA AND OTHER
ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS 11-9

11.3.3 PERMITS AND APPROVALS.....11-13

11.3.4 AGENCIES CONSULTED DURING THE ENVIRONMENTAL
PROCESS..... 11-15

11.4 SUMMARY OF PUBLIC OUTREACH 11-16

11.4.1 COMMUNITY WORKING GROUPS 11-17

11.4.2 PUBLIC MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS11-22

11.5 PUBLIC HEARINGS..... 11-28

11.5.1 REVISED DRAFT AND FINAL EIS.....11-28

11.5.2 2007 DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL EIR.....11-28

11.5.3 2004 DRAFT EIS/EIR.....11-28

11.5.4 NEWSLETTERS, FACT SHEETS, BROCHURES AND NOTICES11-29

11.5.5 NEWSPAPER DISTRIBUTION LIST 11-31

11.5.6 PROJECT INFORMATION WEBSITE 11-33

11.6 ONGOING PUBLIC OUTREACH11-33

11.7 CHRONOLOGY OF COORDINATION..... 11-33

11.7.1 REVISED DRAFT AND FINAL EIS.....11-33

11.7.2 2007 SUPPLEMENTAL EIR 11-35

11.7.3 2004 DRAFT EIS/EIR AND FINAL EIR11-39

CHAPTER 12: DISTRIBUTION OF THE FINAL EIS12-1

12.1 PUBLIC OFFICIALS12-1

12.2 AGENCIES12-8

12.3 ORGANIZATIONS & INDIVIDUALS12-30

CHAPTER 13: DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS13-1

13.1 DEFINITIONS.....13-1

13.2 ABBREVIATIONS.....13-10

CHAPTER 14: REFERENCES14-1

CHAPTER 15: LIST OF PREPARERS15-1

15.1 SANTA CLARA VALLEY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY15-1

15.2	BECHTEL INFRASTRUCTURE CORPORATION.....	15-1
15.3	CIRCLEPOINT	15-1
15.4	FAR WESTERN ANTHROPOLOGICAL RESEARCH GROUP, INC.	15-2
15.5	HEXAGON TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.....	15-2
15.6	HNTB.....	15-2
15.7	IRIS ENVIRONMENTAL.....	15-2
15.8	JONES AND STOKES	15-3
15.9	JRP HISTORICAL CONSULTING, LLC	15-3
15.10	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.....	15-3
15.11	TERRY A. HAYES ASSOCIATES LLC	15-3
15.12	WILLIAM KANEMOTO ASSOCIATES	15-3
15.13	WILSON IHRIG & ASSOCIATES	15-4

LIST OF TABLES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

TABLE ES-1: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Mitigation Measures..... ES-13
 TABLE ES-2: Summary of Construction Impacts, and Proposed Mitigations
 Measures ES-70

CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE AND NEED

TABLE 1-1: HOUSEHOLDS, 2000 TO 2030 (IN HOUSING UNITS) 1-9
 TABLE 1-2: EMPLOYMENT GROWTH, 2000 TO 2030 (IN JOBS) 1-10
 TABLE 1-3: HOUSEHOLDS GROWTH IN SVRTC, 2000 TO 2030
 (IN HOUSING UNITS)..... 1-11
 TABLE 1-4: EMPLOYMENT GROWTH IN SVRTC, 2000 TO 2030 (IN JOBS)..... 1-11
 TABLE 1-5: ESTIMATED WEEKDAY (HOME BASED) WORK TRIPS, 2000 TO
 2030 (FROM/TO ALAMEDA COUNTY)..... 1-13

CHAPTER 2: ALTERNATIVES

TABLE 2-1: SVRT MIS GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND EVALUATION CRITERIA 2-3
 TABLE 2-2: 2030 NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS IN SVRTC .. 2-12
 TABLE 2-3: 2030 NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS IN
 ALAMEDA COUNTY 2-13
 TABLE 2-4: 2030 NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE FLEET SIZE 2-15
 TABLE 2-5: BEP ALTERNATIVE FLEET SIZE 2-46
 TABLE 2-6: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE FLEET SIZE 2-79

CHAPTER 3: TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT

TABLE 3-1: 2007 AVERAGE WEEKDAY TRANSIT BOARDINGS BY OPERATOR IN
 THE SVRTC 3-7
 TABLE 3-2: TOTAL WEEKDAY BOARDINGS – NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE 3-8
 TABLE 3-3: AVERAGE WEEKDAY BOARDINGS BY TRANSIT OPERATOR FOR NO
 BUILD ALTERNATIVE 3-8
 TABLE 3-4A: AVERAGE WEEKDAY RIDERSHIP ON BEP ALTERNATIVE IN 2030 3-9
 TABLE 3-4B: AVERAGE WEEKDAY RIDERSHIP BY STATION ON BEP ALTERNATIVE
 IN 2030 3-9
 TABLE 3-5: AVERAGE WEEKDAY BOARDINGS AND ALIGHTINGS ON BEP
 ALTERNATIVE IN 2030 3-10
 TABLE 3-6: MODE OF ACCESS AT BEP ALTERNATIVE STATIONS 3-10
 TABLE 3-7: TOTAL AVERAGE WEEKDAY BART SYSTEM BOARDINGS IN 2030 3-11
 TABLE 3-8: AVERAGE WEEKDAY BOARDINGS BY TRANSIT OPERATOR FOR BEP
 ALTERNATIVE 3-12
 TABLE 3-9: TOTAL WEEKDAY TRANSIT TRIPS CROSSING SANTA CLARA
 COUNTY-ALAMEDA COUNTY LINE IN 2030..... 3-13
 TABLE 3-10: TOTAL WEEKDAY BOARDINGS AND NEW LINKED TRANSIT TRIPS

	IN 2030.....	3-13
TABLE 3-11:	DAILY TRAVEL TIME SAVINGS IN 2030	3-14
TABLE 3-12:	2030 AM PEAK DOOR-TO-DOOR TRAVEL TIME (MINUTES) FOR SELECTED ORIGIN-DESTINATION PAIRS: NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE VS. BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-15
TABLE 3-13A:	AVERAGE WEEKDAY RIDERSHIP ON SVRTP ALTERNATIVE IN 2030	3-18
TABLE 3-13B:	AVERAGE WEEKDAY RIDERSHIP BY STATION ON SVRTP ALTERNATIVE IN 2030	3-18
TABLE 3-14:	AVERAGE WEEKDAY BOARDINGS AND ALIGHTINGS ON SVRTP ALTERNATIVE IN 2030	3-19
TABLE 3-15:	MODE OF ACCESS AT SVRTP ALTERNATIVE STATIONS	3-19
TABLE 3-16:	AVERAGE WEEKDAY BART SYSTEM BOARDINGS IN 2030.....	3-20
TABLE 3-17:	AVERAGE 2030 WEEKDAY BOARDINGS BY TRANSIT OPERATOR FOR SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-21
TABLE 3-18:	TOTAL WEEKDAY TRANSIT TRIPS CROSSING SANTA CLARA COUNTY-ALAMEDA COUNTY LINE IN 2030.....	3-22
TABLE 3-19:	TOTAL WEEKDAY BOARDINGS AND NEW LINKED TRANSIT TRIPS IN 2030	3-22
TABLE 3-20:	DAILY TRAVEL TIME SAVINGS IN 2030	3-23
TABLE 3-21:	2030 AM PEAK DOOR-TO-DOOR TRAVEL TIME (MINUTES) FOR SELECTED ORIGIN-DESTINATION PAIRS: NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE VS. SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-24
TABLE 3-22:	OPENING YEAR AND 2030 BEP ALTERNATIVE PARK-AND-RIDE SPACE DEMAND AND SUPPLY	3-28
TABLE 3-23:	OPENING YEAR AND 2030 SVRTP ALTERNATIVE PARK-AND-RIDE DEMAND AND SUPPLY	3-30
TABLE 3-24:	PROJECTED BICYCLE PARKING DEMAND FOR THE BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-45
TABLE 3-25:	PROJECTED BICYCLE PARKING DEMAND FOR THE SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-47
TABLE 3-26:	FREEWAY SEGMENT LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS BASED ON DENSITY.....	3-55
TABLE 3-27:	INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS BASED ON DELAY	3-55
TABLE 3-28:	EXISTING FREEWAY LEVELS OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY	3-56
TABLE 3-29:	EXISTING INTERSECTIONS LEVELS OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY	3-61
TABLE 3-30:	2030 TRANSPORTATION NETWORK IMPROVEMENTS	3-67
TABLE 3-31:	YEAR 2030 NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE FREEWAY LEVELS OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY	3-75
TABLE 3-32:	2030 NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY	3-76
TABLE 3-33:	2030 NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE WITH IMPROVEMENTS INTERSECTION LOS RESULTS SUMMARY	3-85
TABLE 3-34:	BEP ALTERNATIVE FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY	3-102
TABLE 3-35:	BEP ALTERNATIVE INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY	3-106

TABLE 3-36: BEP ALTERNATIVE WITH MITIGATIONS INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY3-112

TABLE 3-37: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE FREEWAY LEVELS OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY3-135

TABLE 3-38: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY3-145

TABLE 3-39: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE WITH MITIGATIONS INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS SUMMARY3-153

TABLE 3-40: MAINTENANCE YARD EMPLOYEE WORK SHIFTS.....3-173

TABLE 3-41: MAINTENANCE YARDS PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES..3-173

CHAPTER 4: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

TABLE 4.1-1: AIR QUALITY STANDARDS, AMBIENT MEASUREMENTS, AND VIOLATIONS AT AIR MONITORING STATIONS4.1-3

TABLE 4.1-2: FEDERAL AND STATE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS.....4.1-6

TABLE 4.1-3: HEALTH EFFECTS OF THE MAJOR CRITERIA AIR POLLUTANTS.....4.1-6

TABLE 4.2-1: WETLANDS AND WATERS OF THE U.S. IN THE SILICON VALLEY RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR4.2-4

TABLE 4.3-1: POLICE FACILITIES IN THE STUDY AREA4.3-8

TABLE 4.3-2: FIRE DEPARTMENT FACILITIES IN THE STUDY AREA4.3-8

TABLE 4.3-3: SCHOOLS IN THE STUDY AREA4.3-11

TABLE 4.3-4: CIVIC, COMMUNITY, AND CULTURAL FACILITIES IN THE STUDY AREA4.3-12

TABLE 4.3-5: LIBRARIES IN THE STUDY AREA4.3-13

TABLE 4.3-6: PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IN THE STUDY AREA4.3-13

TABLE 4.3-7: RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS IN THE STUDY AREA.....4.3-14

TABLE 4.4-1: KNOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES IN OR IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO APE4.4-6

TABLE 4.4-2: HISTORIC PROPERTIES LISTED IN THE NRHP, ELIGIBLE FOR LISTING IN THE NRHP, OR APPEARING ELIGIBLE FOR LISTING IN THE NRHP4.4-8

TABLE 4.5-1: EMF LEVELS AT SVRTC LOCATIONS4.5-3

TABLE 4.5-2: ACGIH GUIDELINES FOR EMF EXPOSURE4.5-4

TABLE 4.7-1: FAULTS IN THE VICINITY OF THE STUDY AREA.....4.7-6

TABLE 4.7-2: SUMMARY OF LIQUFACTION HAZARD EVALUATION4.7-10

TABLE 4.8-1: HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RELEASE SITES UNDERGOING REMEDIATION4.8-9

TABLE 4.8-2: HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RELEASE SITES WITH REGULATORY CLOSURE4.8-11

TABLE 4.10-1: SUMMARY OF AMBIENT NOISE MEASUREMENT RESULTS4.10-12

TABLE 4.12-1 POPULATION, RACE, HISPANIC ORIGIN AND AGE: 20004.12-1

TABLE 4.12-2: HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS (2000).....4.12-2

TABLE 4.12-3: HOUSING WITHOUT PRIVATE TRANSPORTATION (2000).....4.12-3

TABLE 4.12-4: JOBS BY SECTOR (2000).....4.12-4

TABLE 4.12-5: RESIDENT EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR (2000)4.12-5

TABLE 4.12-6: HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND POVERTY STATUS (2000)4.12-6

TABLE 4.12-7: ETHNIC COMPOSITION IN THE SVRTC (2000).....4.12-10

TABLE 4.13-1: MAJOR UTILITY LOCATIONS ALONG THE BEP AND SVRTP
ALTERNATIVE ALIGNMENTS (36” DIAMETER AND GREATER).....4.13-3

TABLE 4.15-1: CREEKS AND DRAINAGES WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA IN
ALAMEDA COUNTY4.15-2

TABLE 4.15-2 DRAINAGE AREAS AT EACH CROSSING4.15-5

CHAPTER 5: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

TABLE 5.1-1: OPERATIONAL EMISSION THRESHOLDS5.1-2

TABLE 5.1-2: PROJECT OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS (2030)5.1-3

TABLE 5.1-3A: FUTURE ONE-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR MILPITAS STATION PARTS PER
MILLION (2030).....5.1-4

TABLE 5.1-3B: FUTURE EIGHT-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR MILPITAS STATION IN PARTS PER MILLION
(2030).....5.1-4

TABLE 5.1-4A: FUTURE ONE-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR BERRYESSA STATION IN PARTS PER
MILLION (2030).....5.1-5

TABLE 5.1-4B: FUTURE EIGHT-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR BERRYESSA STATION IN PARTS PER
MILLION (2030).....5.1-5

TABLE 5.1-5A: FUTURE ONE-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR ALUM ROCK STATION IN PARTS PER
MILLION (2030).....5.1-5

TABLE 5.1-5B: FUTURE EIGHT-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR ALUM ROCK STATION IN PARTS PER
MILLION (2030).....5.1-6

TABLE 5.1-6A: FUTURE ONE-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR DIRIDON/ARENA STATION IN PARTS PER
MILLION (2030).....5.1-6

TABLE 5.1-6B: FUTURE EIGHT-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR DIRIDON/ARENA STATION IN PARTS PER
MILLION (2030).....5.1-7

TABLE 5.1-7A: FUTURE ONE-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT
INTERSECTIONS NEAR SANTA CLARA STATION IN PARTS PER

	MILLION (2030).....	5.1-7
TABLE 5.1-7B:	FUTURE EIGHT-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS AT INTERSECTIONS NEAR SANTA CLARA STATION IN PARTS PER MILLION (2030).....	5.1-7
TABLE 5.1-8A:	BEP ALTERNATIVE - ONE-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS NEAR STATION PARKING STRUCTURES IN PARTS PER MILLION (2030).....	5.1-9
TABLE 5.1-8B:	BEP ALTERNATIVE - EIGHT-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS NEAR STATION PARKING STRUCTURES IN PARTS PER MILLION (2030).....	5.1-9
TABLE 5.1-9A:	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE - ONE-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS NEAR STATION PARKING STRUCTURES IN PARTS PER MILLION (2030).....	5.1-10
TABLE 5.1-9B:	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE - EIGHT-HOUR CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS NEAR STATION PARKING STRUCTURES IN PARTS PER MILLION (2030).....	5.1-11
TABLE 5.1-10:	ESTIMATED GHG EMISSIONS (2030).....	5.1-17
TABLE 5.2-1:	ADVERSE EFFECTS TO JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS AND WATERS OF THE U.S.....	5.2-5
TABLE 5.3-1:	COMMUNITY FACILITIES WITHIN ¼-MILE OF BART STATIONS	5.3-2
TABLE 5.4-1:	ARCHAEOLOGICAL LOCATIONS AND RESOURCES AFFECTING BEP ALTERNATIVE.....	5.4-2
TABLE 5.4-2:	ARCHAEOLOGICAL LOCATIONS AND RESOURCES AFFECTING SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	5.4-4
TABLE 5.4-3:	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR HISTORIC PROPERTIES WITHIN THE SVRTP ALTERNATIVE APE	5.4-8
TABLE 5.5-1:	VERTICAL FIELD PEAK MEASUREMENTS ABOVE EXISTING, OPERATING BART TRACKS AT HOPYARD OVERPASS, PLEASANTON	5.5-2
TABLE 5.5-2:	VERTICAL FIELD PEAK MEASUREMENTS BELOW EXISTING, OPERATING BART PLEASANTON LINE AT RODEO PARK UNDERPASS AT BART / I-580	5.5-2
TABLE 5.5-3:	VERTICAL FIELD PEAK AND RANGE MEASUREMENTS FOR REFERENCE.....	5.5-3
TABLE 5.5-4:	VERTICAL PEAK MEASUREMENTS AT REPRESENTATIVE BART STATIONS	5.5-3
TABLE 5.6-1:	DIRECT AND TOTAL ENERGY USE BY TRANSIT AND AUTO MODES (2030).....	5.6-2
TABLE 5.6-2:	ANNUAL VMT FOR VEHICLE OPERATIONS BY MODE AND BY ALTERNATIVE (2030) (ALL FIGURES IN MILLIONS).....	5.6-2
TABLE 5.6-3:	ANNUAL DIRECT AND TOTAL ENERGY USE FOR VEHICLE	

	OPERATIONS BY MODE AND ALTERNATIVE (2030).....	5.6-4
TABLE 5.9-1:	CONSISTENCY OF THE PROJECT WITH APPLICABLE LAND USE GOALS AND POLICIES	5.9-8
TABLE 5.10-1:	FTA GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA – LAND USE.....	5.10-5
TABLE 5.10-2:	FTA GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE IMPACT CRITERIA - BUILDINGS	5.10-6
TABLE 5.10-3:	BEP ALTERNATIVE SUMMARY OF NOISE IMPACTS TO GROUND FLOOR RESIDENTIAL UNITS BEFORE AND AFTER MITIGATION.....	5.10-9
TABLE 5.10-4:	BEP ALTERNATIVE PROJECTED SEVERE IMPACTS	5.10-10
TABLE 5.10-5:	BEP ALTERNATIVE PROJECTED MODERATE IMPACTS	5.10-19
TABLE 5.10-6:	NOISE WALL MITIGATION FOR THE BEP ALTERNATIVE	5.10-40
TABLE 5.10-7:	LOCATIONS FOR TRACK LEVEL ACOUSTICAL ABSORPTION FOR THE BEP ALTERNATIVE	5.10-41
TABLE 5.10-8:	LOCATIONS FOR SOUND ABSORPTIVE MATERIAL FOR THE BEP ALTERNATIVE.....	5.10-42
TABLE 5.10-9:	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE NOISE IMPACTS TO GROUND FLOOR RESIDENTIAL UNITS NORTH OF THE TUNNEL BEFORE AND AFTER MITIGATION	5.10-44
TABLE 5.10-10:	GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION IMPACTS FOR BEP ALTERNATIVE ALIGNMENT.....	5.10-48
TABLE 5.10-11:	BEP ALTERNATIVE VIBRATION MITIGATION	5.10-60
TABLE 5.10-12:	GROUND-BORNE NOISE IMPACTS FOR TUNNEL PORTION OF SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	5.10-75
TABLE 5.10-13:	VIBRATION MITIGATION FOR SVRTP ALTERNATIVE.....	5.10-80
TABLE 5.12-1:	POPULATION, HOUSING, AND EMPLOYMENT (2000-2030)	5.12-1
TABLE 5.12-2:	BEP ALTERNATIVE – SUMMARY OF DISPLACEMENTS	5.12-4
TABLE 5.12-3:	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE – SUMMARY OF DISPLACEMENTS.....	5.12-4
TABLE 5.12-4:	COMMERCIAL VACANCY RATES FOR SVRTC CITIES	5.12-10
 CHAPTER 6: CONSTRUCTION		
TABLE 6-1:	WARMS SPRINGS TO BERRYESSA ROAD HAUL ROAD VOLUMES AND NUMBERS OF TRUCKS FOR THE BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES.....	6-63
TABLE 6-2:	HAUL ROAD VOLUMES AND NUMBERS OF TRUCKS (SVRTP ALTERNATIVE TUNNEL PORTION ONLY).....	6-63
TABLE 6-3:	DOWNTOWN STATION CONSTRUCTION – ADVERSE AFFECTS.....	6-80
TABLE 6-4:	CONSTRUCTION CRITERIA POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FOR THE BEP ALTERNATIVE	6-82
TABLE 6-5:	FTA CONSTRUCTION NOISE GUIDELINES	6-105
TABLE 6-6:	CONSTRUCTION HOURS BY JURISDICTION	6-106
TABLE 6-7:	SUMMARY OF BEP ALTERNATIVE AND PORTION OF SVRTP ALTERNATIVE CONSTRUCTION NOISE EFFECTS AND MITIGATION	6-107

TABLE 6-8: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE TUNNEL SEGMENT NOISE LEVELS AT SENSITIVE RECEPTORS6-117

TABLE 6-9: VIBRATION EFFECTS ON SENSITIVE RECEPTORS6-121

TABLE 6.10: ESTIMATES OF BEP ALTERNATIVE AND PORTION OF SVRTP ALTERNATIVE ABOVEGROUND VIBRATION LEVELS DUE TO VIBRATORY PILE DRIVER6-122

TABLE 6-11: NOISE EMISSION LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.....6-129

CHAPTER 7: FINAL SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION

TABLE 7-1: SUMMARY OF SECTION 4(F) USES BY BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES.....7-24

TABLE 7-2: LEAST OVERALL HARM EVALUATION.....7-45

CHAPTER 8: BART CORE SYSTEM PARKING ANALYSIS

TABLE 8-1 BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES PARKING DEMAND AND POTENTIAL EXPANSION IN THE CORE SYSTEM8-6

CHAPTER 9: FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

TABLE 9-1: CAPITAL COSTS FOR BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES (\$2009, IN MILLIONS)9-3

TABLE 9-2: CAPITAL COSTS FOR BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES IN YEAR OF EXPENDITURE (\$YOE MILLIONS)9-5

TABLE 9-3: ANNUAL O&M COSTS AND OPERATING REVENUES FOR VTA OPERATED AND ASSISTED SERVICES (NON-BART): 2030 OPERATING PLANS (\$2009 IN MILLIONS)9-8

TABLE 9-4: ANNUAL O&M COSTS AND OPERATING REVENUES FOR VTA OPERATED AND ASSISTED SERVICES (NON-BART): 2030 OPERATING PLANS (\$YOE IN MILLIONS)9-9

TABLE 9-5: ANNUAL O&M COSTS, CAPITAL RESERVE CONTRIBUTION, AND OPERATING REVENUES FOR BART EXTENSION SERVICE: 2030 OPERATING PLANS (\$2009 IN MILLIONS)9-12

TABLE 9-6: ANNUAL O&M COSTS, CAPITAL RESERVE CONTRIBUTION, AND OPERATING REVENUES FOR BART EXTENSION SERVICE: 2030 OPERATING PLANS (\$YOE IN MILLIONS)9-12

TABLE 9-7: NET ANNUAL OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COSTS IN 2030 (\$YOE IN MILLIONS)9-14

TABLE 9-8: SOURCES OF CAPITAL FUNDING FOR BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES (\$YOE IN MILLIONS).....9-16

CHAPTER 10: EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES

TABLE 10-1: MOBILITY EVALUATION 10-5

TABLE 10-2: ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS EVALUATION 10-10

TABLE 10-3: OPERATING EFFICIENCIES EVALUATION 10-14

TABLE 10-4: LAND USE EVALUATION 10-16

TABLE 10-5: LOCAL FINANCIAL COMMITMENT EVALUATION..... 10-20

TABLE 10-6: SERVICE HEADWAYS FOR BASELINE ALTERNATIVE BUS ROUTES 10-29

TABLE 10-7: FLEET REQUIREMENT FOR THE BASELINE ALTERNATIVE IN YEAR 2030 10-31

TABLE 10-8: BASELINE ALTERNATIVE BUS-RELATED PARKING DEMAND 10-32

TABLE 10-9: CAPITAL COSTS OF THE BASELINE ALTERNATIVE COMPARED (\$2009 IN MILLIONS) 10-35

TABLE 10-10: ANNUAL DIRECT O&M COSTS VTA OPERATED AND ASSISTED SERVICES AND BART EXTENSION SERVICE: 2030 OPERATING PLANS (\$2009 IN MILLIONS)..... 10-36

TABLE 10-11: NEW STARTS MOBILITY EVALUATION 10-38

TABLE 10-12: NEW STARTS ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS EVALUATION 10-39

TABLE 10-13: NEW STARTS OPERATING EFFICIENCIES EVALUATION 10-40

TABLE 10-14: NEW STARTS COST EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION 10-41

TABLE 10-15: NEW STARTS LAND USE EVALUATION 10-42

TABLE 10-16: NEW STARTS OTHER FACTORS EVALUATION 10-43

TABLE 10-17: NEW STARTS LOCAL FINANCIAL COMMITMENT 10-45

CHAPTER 11: AGENCY AND COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

TABLE 11-1: REQUIRED PERMITS AND APPROVALS 11-13

LIST OF FIGURES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FIGURE ES-1:	SILICON VALLEY RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR.....	ES-3
FIGURE ES-2	BEP ALTERNATIVE.....	ES-8
FIGURE ES-3	SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	ES-10

CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE AND NEED

FIGURE 1-1:	BART SYSTEM MAP.....	1-2
FIGURE 1-2:	SILICON VALLEY RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR.....	1-3
FIGURE 1-3:	REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION NETWORK	1-7
FIGURE 1-4:	YEAR 2030 WORK TRIPS FROM ALAMEDA COUNTY TO SUPERDISTRICTS 9, 11, AND 12	1-14
FIGURE 1-5:	YEAR 2030 WORK TRIPS FROM SUPERDISTRICTS 9, 11, AND 12 TO ALAMEDA COUNTY.....	1-14
FIGURE 1-6:	2008 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONGESTION.....	1-16
FIGURE 1-7:	TRAVEL TIME COMPARISON.....	1-17
FIGURE 1-8:	SVRTC NO AUTO HOUSEHOLDS.....	1-20
FIGURE 1-9:	SVRTC LOW INCOME POPULATIONS	1-21
FIGURE 1-10:	SVRTC ETHNIC MINORITY POPULATIONS	1-23
FIGURE 1-11:	SVRTC JOB DENSITY	1-24

CHAPTER 2: ALTERNATIVES

FIGURE 2-1:	BART SYSTEM MAP.....	2-11
FIGURE 2-2:	LRT SERVICE MAP.....	2-14
FIGURE 2-3:	BEP ALTERNATIVE.....	2-20
FIGURE 2-4:	BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES – CITY OF FREMONT	2-21
FIGURE 2-5:	BEP AND SVRTP ALTERNATIVES – CITY OF MILPITAS	2-24
FIGURE 2-6A:	MILPITAS STATION EAST BUS TRANSIT CENTER OPTION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN.....	2-28
FIGURE 2-6B:	MILPITAS STATION WEST BUS TRANSIT CENTER OPTION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN.....	2-29
FIGURE 2-7:	BEP ALTERNATIVES – CITY OF SAN JOSE	2-32
FIGURE 2-8A:	BERRYESSA STATION NORTH OPTION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN BEP ALTERNATIVE	2-33
FIGURE 2-8B:	BERRYESSA STATION SOUTH OPTION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN BEP ALTERNATIVE	2-36
FIGURE 2-9A:	NO NEW YARD OPTION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN – BERRYESSA STATION NORTH	2-38
FIGURE 2-9B:	NO NEW YARD OPTION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN – BERRYESSA STATION SOUTH.....	2-39
FIGURE 2-9C:	LAS PLUMAS YARD AND SHOPS FACILITY CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN	2-40
FIGURE: 2-10	LOCATION OF WARM SPRINGS BART PARK-AND-RIDE LOT	2-49
FIGURE: 2-11	LOCATION OF BERRYESSA STATION PARK-AND-RIDE LOT.....	2-49
FIGURE: 2-12	LOCATION OF EVELYN LRT STATION PARK-AND-RIDE LOT	2-50

FIGURE 2-13: LOCATION OF DOWNTOWN SUNNYVALE PARK-AND-RIDE LOT2-50

FIGURE 2-14: BEP ALTERNATIVE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE2-57

FIGURE 2-15: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE2-59

FIGURE 2-16: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE – CITY OF SAN JOSE2-60

FIGURE 2-17A: BERRYESSA STATION NORTH OPTION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN
SVRTP ALTERNATIVE2-62

FIGURE 2-17B: BERRYESSA STATION SOUTH OPTION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN
SVRTP ALTERNATIVE2-63

FIGURE 2-18: ALUM ROCK STATION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN2-65

FIGURE 2-19: DOWNTOWN SAN JOSE STATION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN2-68

FIGURE 2-20: DIRIDON/ARENA STATION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN2-70

FIGURE 2-21: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE – CITY OF SANTA CLARA2-73

FIGURE 2-22: NEWHALL YARD AND SHOPS FACILITY CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN.....2-74

FIGURE 2-23: SANTA CLARA STATION CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN.....2-78

FIGURE 2-24: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE2-84

FIGURE 2-25: RELATED PROJECTS.....2-85

CHAPTER 3: TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT

FIGURE 3-1: EXISTING VTA LIGHT RAIL SYSTEMS3-3

FIGURE 3-2: EXISTING VTA BUS SERVICE3-4

FIGURE 3-3: EXISTING BICYCLE ACCESS.....3-38

FIGURE 3-4: EXISTING AND PLANNED BICYCLE IMPROVEMENTS3-42

FIGURE 3-5: MILPITAS STATION STUDY FREEWAY SEGMENTS EXISTING
CONDITIONS3-57

FIGURE 3-6: BERRYESSA STATION STUDY FREEWAYS SEGMENTS EXISTING
CONDITIONS3-58

FIGURE 3-7: ALUM ROCK STATION STUDY FREEWAY SEGMENTS EXISTING
CONDITIONS3-59

FIGURE 3-8: DIRIDON STATION STUDY FREEWAY SEGMENTS EXISTING
CONDITIONS3-60

FIGURE 3-9: SANTA CLARA STATION STUDY FREEWAY SEGMENTS EXISTING
CONDITIONS3-62

FIGURE 3-10: MILPITAS FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE 2030 NO BUILD WITH
IMPROVEMENTS3-70

FIGURE 3-11: MILPITAS FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE 2030 NO BUILD WITH
IMPROVEMENTS3-71

FIGURE 3-12: ALUM ROCK STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE 2030 NO
BUILD WITH IMPROVEMENTS3-72

FIGURE 3-13: DIRIDON STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE 2030 NO BUILD
WITH IMPROVEMENTS3-73

FIGURE 3-14: SANTA CLARA STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE 2030 NO
BUILD WITH IMPROVEMENTS3-74

FIGURE 3-15: MILPITAS STATION 2030 NO BUILD WITH IMPROVEMENTS
LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS3-80

FIGURE 3-16: BERRYESSA STATION 2030 NO BUILD WITH IMPROVEMENTS
LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS3-81

FIGURE 3-17:	ALUM ROCK STATION 2030 NO BUILD WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS	3-82
FIGURE 3-18:	DIRIDON STATION 2030 NO BUILD WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS	3-83
FIGURE 3-19:	SANTA CLARA STATION 2030 NO BUILD WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS	3-84
FIGURE 3-20:	MILPITAS STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-104
FIGURE 3-21A:	BERRYESSA STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE BEP ALTERNATIVE	3-107
FIGURE 3-21B:	BERRYESSA STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE BEP ALTERNATIVE (CONTINUED).....	3-108
FIGURE 3-22:	MILPITAS STATION BEP WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS.....	3-170
FIGURE 3-23:	BERRYESSA STATION BEP IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS.....	3-111
FIGURE 3-24:	MILPITAS STATION SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION	3-121
FIGURE 3-25A:	BERRYESSA NORTH STATION SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION	3-123
FIGURE 3-25B:	BERRYESSA SOUTH STATION SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION	3-124
FIGURE 3-26:	ALUM ROCK STATION SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION	3-127
FIGURE 3-27:	DIRIDON STATION SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION	3-130
FIGURE 3-28:	SANTA CLARA STATION SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION.....	3-134
FIGURE 3-29:	MILPITAS STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-137
FIGURE 3-30:	BERRYESSA STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-138
FIGURE 3-31:	ALUM ROCK STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-140
FIGURE 3-32:	DIRIDON STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-142
FIGURE 3-33:	SANTA CLARA STATION FREEWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE SVRTP ALTERNATIVE	3-144
FIGURE 3-34:	MILPITAS STATION SVRTP ALTERNATIVE WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS	3-148
FIGURE 3-35:	BERRYESSA STATION SVRTP ALTERNATIVE WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS	3-149
FIGURE 3-36:	ALUM ROCK STATION SVRTP ALTERNATIVE WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS	3-150
FIGURE 3-37:	DIRIDON STATION SVRTP ALTERNATIVE WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS	3-151
FIGURE 3-38:	SANTA CLARA STATION SVRTP ALTERNATIVE WITH IMPROVEMENTS LEVEL OF SERVICE CONDITIONS	3-152

CHAPTER 4: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

FIGURE 4.3-1:	COMMUNITY FACILITY MAP – CITY OF FREMONT	4.3-2
FIGURE 4.3-2:	COMMUNITY FACILITY MAP – CITY OF MILPITAS	4.3-3

FIGURE 4.3-3: COMMUNITY FACILITY MAP – CITY OF SAN JOSE.....4.3-4

FIGURE 4.3.4: COMMUNITY FACILITY MAP – CITY OF SAN JOSE (CONTINUED).....4.3-5

FIGURE 4.3-5: COMMUNITY FACILITY MAP – RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS -
CITY OF SAN JOSE4.3-6

FIGURE 4.3-6: COMMUNITY FACILITY MAP – CITY OF SANTA CLARA.....4.3-7

FIGURE 4.7-1: GEOLOGY OF THE SANTA CLARA VALLEY4.7-3

FIGURE 4.7-2: LOCATION OF REGIONAL ACTIVE FAULTS.....4.7-5

FIGURE 4.7-3: LIQUEFACTION SUSCEPTIBILITY MAP4.7-9

FIGURE 4.9-1: MILPITAS STATION EXISTING LAND USES4.9-3

FIGURE 4.9-2: BERRYESSA STATION EXISTING LAND USES4.9-6

FIGURE 4.9-3: ALUM ROCK STATION EXISTING LAND USES4.9-7

FIGURE 4.9-4: DOWNTOWN SAN JOSE STATION EXISTING LAND USES4.9-8

FIGURE 4.9-5: DIRIDON / ARENA STATION EXISTING LAND USES.....4.9-10

FIGURE 4.9-6: LAS PLUMAS YARD EXISTING LAND USES.....4.9-11

FIGURE 4.9-7: SANTA CLARA STATION EXISTING LAND USES4.9-13

FIGURE 4.9-8: NEWHALL YARD AND SHOPS FACILITY EXISTING LAND USES4.9-14

FIGURE 4.10-1: COMPARISON OF VARIOUS NOISE LEVELS4.10-3

FIGURE 4.10-2: EXAMPLES OF TYPICAL OUTDOOR NOISE EXPOSURE4.10-4

FIGURE 4.10-3: PROPAGATION OF GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION INTO BUILDINGS ...4.10-6

FIGURE 4.10-4: TYPICAL GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION LEVELS AND CRITERIA.....4.10-7

FIGURE 4.10-5: AMBIENT NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS.....4.10-10

FIGURE 4.10-6: VIBRATION MEASUREMENT TEST LOCATIONS4.10-11

FIGURE 4.12-1: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES - EXISTING
CONDITIONS4.12-9

FIGURE 4.14-1: VISUAL ANALYSIS AREAS
CITY OF MILPITAS VISUAL ANALYSIS
AREA4.14-4

FIGURE 4.15-1: 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN WITHIN THE SVRTC - CITY OF
FREMONT4.15-8

FIGURE 4.15-2: 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN WITHIN THE SVRTC - CITY OF
MILPITAS4.15-9

FIGURE 4.15-3: 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN WITHIN THE SVRTC - CITY OF SAN
JOSE.....4.15-10

FIGURE 4.15-4: 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN WITHIN THE SVRTC - CITY OF SANTA
CLARA.....4.15-12

CHAPTER 5: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

FIGURE 5.10-1: INCREASE IN CUMULATIVE NOISE LEVELS ALLOWED BY THE
FTA CRITERIA FOR CATEGORY 1 AND 2 LAND USES5.10-3

FIGURE 5.10-2: INCREASE IN CUMULATIVE NOISE LEVELS ALLOWED BY THE
FTA CRITERIA FOR CATEGORY 3 LAND USES5.10-4

FIGURE 5.10-3A: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-27

FIGURE 5.10-3B: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-28

FIGURE 5.10-3C: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-29

FIGURE 5.10-3D: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-30

FIGURE 5.10-3E: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-31

FIGURE 5.10-3F: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-32

FIGURE 5.10-3G: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-33

FIGURE 5.10-3H: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-34

FIGURE 5.10-3I: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-35

FIGURE 5.10-3J: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-36

FIGURE 5.10-3K: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-37

FIGURE 5.10-3L: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-38

FIGURE 5.10-3M: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-39

FIGURE 5.10-3N: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-62

FIGURE 5.10-3O: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-63

FIGURE 5.10-3P: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-64

FIGURE 5.10-3Q: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-65

FIGURE 5.10-3R: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-66

FIGURE 5.10-3S: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-67

FIGURE 5.10-3T: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-68

FIGURE 5.10-3U: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-69

FIGURE 5.10-3V: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-70

FIGURE 5.10-3W: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-71

FIGURE 5.10-3X: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-72

FIGURE 5.10-3Y: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-73

FIGURE 5.10-3Z: NOISE AND VIBRATION MITIGATION LOCATIONS5.10-74

FIGURE 5.12-1: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – OPERATION
 IMPACTS – BEP ALTERNATIVE.....5.12-13

FIGURE 5.12-2: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – OPERATION
 IMPACTS – SVRTP ALTERNATIVE.....5.12-16

FIGURE 5.14-1: VIEWPOINT LOCATIONS.....5.14-3

FIGURE 5.14-2: VIEWPOINT 15.14-9

FIGURE 5.14-3: VIEWPOINT 25.14-10

FIGURE 5.14-4: VIEWPOINT 4.....5.14-13

FIGURE 5.14-5A: VIEWPOINT 4, BERRYESSA STATION NORTH
 OPTION5.14-15

FIGURE 5.14-5B: VIEWPOINT 4, BERRYESSA STATION SOUTH
 OPTION5.14-16

FIGURE 5.14-6: VIEWPOINT 55.14-18

FIGURE 5.14-7: VIEWPOINT 65.14-20

FIGURE 5.14-8: VIEWPOINT 75.14-22

FIGURE 5.14-9: VIEWPOINT 85.14-24

FIGURE 5.14-10:VIEWPOINT 9.....5.14-27

CHAPTER 6: CONSTRUCTION

FIGURE 6-1: BEP ALTERNATIVE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE6-5

FIGURE 6-2: SVRTP ALTERNATIVE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE6-6

FIGURE 6-3: AT-GRADE GUIDEWAY6-10

FIGURE 6-4: RETAINED FILL GUIDEWAY6-12

FIGURE 6-5: MECHANICALLY STABILIZED EARTH WALL.....6-14

FIGURE 6-6: AERIAL GUIDEWAYS6-15

FIGURE 6-7: RETAINED CUT U-WALL.....6-17

FIGURE 6-8: STEEL SHEET PIPES.....6-19

FIGURE 6-9: LATERAL BRACING MEMBERS.....6-20

FIGURE 6-10: BASIC COMPONENTS OF A TIEBACK ANCHOR.....6-21

FIGURE 6-11: SOLDIER PILES AND LAGGING.....6-22

FIGURE 6-12: SOIL NAIL WALL.....6-23

FIGURE 6-13: TEMPORARY DECK INSTALLATION6-25

FIGURE 6-14: ONGOING EXCAVATION AFTER TEMPORARY DECK
INSTALLATION6-26

FIGURE 6-15: TEMPORARY UTILITY SUPPORT IN AN EXCAVATION.....6-28

FIGURE 6-16: DEEP SOIL MIX AND AUGER RIG INSTALLATION6-29

FIGURE 6-17: DEEP SOIL MIX AND STEEL SOLDIER PIPERS.....6-30

FIGURE 6-18: TRENCH REMIXING AND DEEP WALL METHOD (TRD).....6-31

FIGURE 6-19: CONSTRUCTION OF A DIAPHRAGM SLURRY WALL.....6-33

FIGURE 6-20: DIAMETERS AND SPACING OF TUNNEL BORES (TRD).....6-35

FIGURE 6-21: TWIN TUNNEL BORES6-36

FIGURE 6-22: EXAMPLES OF TUNNEL BORING MACHINES6-37

FIGURE 6-23: GENERAL ARRANGEMENT OF AN EARTH PRESSURE BALANCE
TUNNEL BORING MACHINE6-38

FIGURE 6-24: EXAMPLE OF SEGMENTAL CONCRETE LINING UNITS AND RINGS.....6-39

FIGURE 6-25: CONVEYOR SYSTEM6-40

FIGURE 6-26: TBM DATA CENTERS6-42

FIGURE 6-27: CROSS PASSAGES CONNECTING THE TUNNEL BORES6-45

FIGURE 6-28: PROPOSED MISSION FALLS CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREA.....6-50

FIGURE 6-29: PROPOSED CALAVERAS BOULEVARD CONSTRUCTION STAGING
AREA6-51

FIGURE 6-30: PROPOSED CAPITOL AVENUE CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREAS.....6-52

FIGURE 6-31: PROPOSED TRADE ZONE BOULEVARD CONSTRUCTION STAGING
AREAS.....6-53

FIGURE 6-32: PROPOSED BERRYESSA ROAD CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREAS ...6-54

FIGURE 6-33: PROPOSED MABURY ROAD AND U.S. 101 CONSTRUCTION
STAGING AREA6-55

FIGURE 6-34: ALUM ROCK CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREA.....6-56

FIGURE 6-35: PROPOSED 17TH STREET CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREA6-57

FIGURE 6-36: PROPOSED DOWNTOWN SAN JOSE CONSTRUCTION STAGING
AREAS.....6-58

FIGURE 6-37: PROPOSED SR 87 CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREA6-59

FIGURE 6-38: PROPOSED DIRIDON / ARENA STATION CONSTRUCTION STAGING
AREAS.....6-60

FIGURE 6-39: PROPOSED SANTA CLARA STATION CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREA6-61

FIGURE 6-40 EAST PORTAL TRUCK HAUL ROUTE6-66

FIGURE 6-41 ALUM ROCK STATION TRUCK HAUL ROUTE6-67

FIGURE 6-42 VENTILATION STRUCTURE FSS TRUCK HAUL ROUTE6-68

FIGURE 6-43 DOWNTOWN SAN JOSE TRUCK HAUL ROUTE.....6-69

FIGURE 6-44 DIRIDON / ARENA STATION TRUCK HAUL ROUTE.....6-70

FIGURE 6-45 VENTILATION STRUCTURE STS TRUCK HAUL ROUTE6-71

FIGURE 6-46 WEST PORTAL TRUCK HAUL ROUTE6-72

FIGURE 6-47 EXAMPLE OF A TEMPORARY NOISE BARRIER6-124

FIGURE 6-48 EXAMPLE OF A TEMPORARY NOISE BARRIER6-125

FIGURE 6-49: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS – BEP ALTERNATIVE.....6-132

FIGURE 6-50: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMUNITIES – CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS – SVRTP ALTERNATIVE.....6-136

CHAPTER 7: FINAL SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION

FIGURE 7-1: PARC METRO EAST7-9

FIGURE 7-2: FIVE WOUNDS CHURCHFIGURE7-9

FIGURE 7-3: ROOSEVELT PARK.....7-10

FIGURE 7-4: TUGGLE MEDICAL CLINIC7-10

FIGURE 7-5: 100 EAST SANTA CLARA STREET7-12

FIGURE 7-6: 52 EAST SANTA CLARA STREET7-12

FIGURE 7-7: THE MODERNE DRUG COMPANY / WESTERN DENTAL BUILDING.....7-13

FIGURE 7-8: 36-40 EAST SANTA CLARA STREET AND FIRATO DELICATESSEN/RAVIOLI BUILDING7-13

FIGURE 7-9: 27-29 AND 31-33 FOUNTAIN ALLEY7-15

FIGURE 7-10: BANK OF ITALY / BANK OF AMERICA7-15

FIGURE 7-11: JAMES CLAYTON BUILDING.....7-16

FIGURE 7-12: SAN JOSE BUILDING AND LOAN ASSOCIATION BUILDING7-16

FIGURE 7-13: SAN JOSE NATIONAL BANK7-18

FIGURE 7-14: SAN JOSE WATER WORKS.....7-18

FIGURE 7-15: HISTORIC CAHILL STATION.....7-19

FIGURE 7-16: SANTA CLARA UNDERPASS7-19

FIGURE 7-17: CALPAK PLANT #517-20

FIGURE 7-18: 49 WILSON AVE7-20

FIGURE 7-19: 176 NORTH MORRISON AVE7-22

FIGURE 7-20: HISTORIC SANTA CLARA CALTRAIN STATION7-22

FIGURE 7-21: SANTA CLARA TOWER AND SHEDS7-23

FIGURE 7-22: IMPACT AT PARC METRO EAST7-27

FIGURE 7-23: IMPACTS AT DOWNTOWN SAN JOSE HISTORIC BLOCK7-30

CHAPTER 8: BART CORE SYSTEM PARKING ANALYSIS

FIGURE 8-1: BART CORE SYSTEM.....8-3

CHAPTER 10: EVALUTATION OF ALTERNATIVES

FIGURE 10-1: BASELINE ALTERNATIVE – CORRIDOR BUS ROUTES 10-30
FIGURE 10-2: BASELINE ALTERNATIVE – BART OPERATING PLAN 10-32

This page intentionally left blank.