Capitol Expressway Corridor

Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Section 4 (f) Evaluation



CAPITOL EXPRESSWAY CORRIDOR SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement

PREPARED PURSUANT TO:

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, §102, (42 U.S.C. §4332); Federal Transit Law (49 U.S.C. §5301(e), §5323(b) and §5324 (b)); 49 USC §303 (formerly Department of Transportation Act of 1966, §4(f)); and National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, §106 (16 U.S.C. §470f), Executive Order 12898 (Environmental Justice).

by the

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

and the

SANTA CLARA VALLEY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Leslie T. Rogers

Regional Administrator, Region IX

Federal Transit Administration

Date of Approval

Michael T. Burns

General Manager

California/Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority

ABSTRACT

The Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) proposes to construct an extension of the Capitol Light Rail system from the existing Alum Rock Station to the Eastridge Transit Center in the City of San Jose.

This Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Supplemental DEIS or SDEIS) analyzes two alternatives: the No-Build Alternative and the Light Rail Alternative. The Light Rail Alternative would consist of a 2.3-mile extension of the existing Capitol Light Rail system. Light rail would operate primarily in the median of Capitol Expressway within exclusive and semi-exclusive rights-of-way. Property acquisition would be minimized through the removal of two High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes on Capitol Expressway. The project would include an elevated section north of Capitol Avenue and south of Story Road, and an elevated crossing of Tully Road. The project would include light rail stations at Story Road (aerial), Ocala Avenue (at-grade), and Eastridge Transit Center (at-grade). At Eastridge Mall, the existing park-and-ride lot will be modified and expanded to accommodate the project. The project will also include traction power substations at Ocala Avenue and Eastridge Transit Center.

Ridership is projected to be approximately 3,800 passengers in 2035.

This Supplemental DEIS evaluates and discloses the environmental effects of the alternatives. As identified during Environmental Scoping, the topics of concern are traffic, air quality and climate change, energy, environmental justice, noise and vibration, safety and security, and construction. Mitigation measures to reduce or avoid adverse effects are identified in this document.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS DOCUMENT, CONTACT:

Mr. Eric Eidlin U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration, Region IX 201 Mission Street, Suite 1650 San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: (415) 744-2502

Phone: (415) 744-2502 Eric.eidlin@dot.gov Ms. Christina Jaworski Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Environmental Programs and Resources and Management 3331 North First Street, Building B San Jose, CA 95134-1927

Phone: (408) 321-5789 christina.jaworski@vta.org

A 45-day period has been established for comments on this document. The public comment period will end at 5:00 p.m. on July 3, 2012. Comments may be submitted in writing or may be made orally at the public hearings to be held on June 11, 2012, and June 14, 2012. Written comments should be submitted to Ms. Christina Jaworski at the address above. Comments may also be submitted by fax to (408) 321-5787 and by email at capitol.expressway.eis@vta.org. Information on the public hearings can be obtained from the project website http://www.vta.org/projects/capitol_rail_project/index.html.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

			Page
	_	Abbreviations	
	Executive Sun	nmary	ES-1
Chapter 1	Purpose and	Need	1-1
-	Section 1.1	Purpose	1-1
	Section 1.2	Need	1-2
Chapter 2	Alternatives A	Analysis	2-1
•	Section 2.1	Introduction	
	Section 2.2	Identification of Alternatives	2-1
	Section 2.3	Alternatives Evaluated in the	
		Supplemental DEIS	2-9
	Section 2.4	List of Federal Permits, Licenses,	
		and Other Entitlements Needed	2-26
Chapter 3	Affected Envi	ronment, Environmental	
•	Consequence	es, and Mitigation Measures	3-1
		olemental DEIS	
		dy Area	
		umptions	
		Terminology of Impacts and Mitigation	
		ts and Impacts	
	Mitigation Mea	sures	
	Section 3.1	Transportation	
	Section 3.2	Air Quality and Climate Change	
	Section 3.3	Biological Resources	
	Section 3.4	Community Services	3.4-1
	Section 3.5	Cultural Resources	
	Section 3.6	Electromagnetic Fields	
	Section 3.7	Energy	
	Section 3.8	Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	
	Section 3.9	Hazardous Materials	3.9-1

	Section 3.10	Hydrology and Water Quality	3.10-1
	Section 3.11	Land Use	3.11-1
	Section 3.12	Noise and Vibration	
	Section 3.13	Safety and Security	3.13-1
	Section 3.14	Socioeconomics	
	Section 3.15	Utilities	
	Section 3.16	Visual Quality	3.16-1
	Section 3.17	Environmental Justice	
	Section 3.18	Construction	3.18-1
	Section 3.19	Maintenance Facility	3.19-1
Chapter 4	Draft Section	4(f) Evaluation	4-1
-	Section 4.1	Introduction	4-1
	Section 4.2	Environmental Consequences	4-6
	Section 4.3	Impacts on Section 4(f)	
		Resources	
	Section 4.4	Avoidance Alternatives	
	Section 4.5	Measures to Minimize Harm	
	Section 4.6	Consultation and Coordination	
	Section 4.7	Determination	
	Section 4.8	Section 6(f)(3) Considerations	4-10
Chapter 5		siderations	
	Section 5.1	Introduction	
	Section 5.2	Cost Summary	5-1
	Section 5.3	Financial Feasibility and Local	
		Financial Commitment	5-2
Chapter 6		Community Participation	
	Section 6.1	Summary of Scoping	
	Section 6.2	Summary of Public Agency Coordina	
	Section 6.3	Summary of Ongoing Public Involved	ment 6-3
Chapter 7	List of Prepar	ers	7-1
Chapter 8	References		8-1

Appendices

Appendix A Notice of Intent (NOI) NOI Mailing List Appendix B **Appendix C Comments on NOI Appendix D State Historic Preservation Officer Concurrence Letter Proposed Property Acquisitions Appendix E Appendix F PM 2.5 Determination Title VI Policy Statement Appendix G Appendix H Noise and Vibration Study** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Determination Appendix I **Appendix J Public Meeting Summary**

Tables

		Page
ES-1	Summary of Adverse Effects and Proposed Mitigation Measures	ES-6
1-1	Population, Employment, and Housing Characteristics	1-2
1-2	Transit Dependency Characteristics	1-3
2-1	Downtown/East Valley Initial List of Candidate Conceptual Alternatives	2-3
2-2	Alternatives Eliminated from Further Detailed Analysis	2-4
2-3	Capitol Expressway/Evergreen Corridor Key Performance Measures	2-6
2-4	Preliminary Right-of-Way Requirements	2-22
2-5	Travel Time and Speed Data for Light Rail in 2018	2-26
3.1-1	Bus Service Hours/Headways	3.1-3
3.1-2	Average Weekday Bus Ridership by Route	3.1-4
3.1-3	Daily Passenger Activity at Selected Intersections and Transit Centers	3.1-4
3.1-4	Light Rail Services Hours and Headways	3.1-5
3.1-5	Signalized Intersections and Annual Average Daily Traffic	3.1-7
3.1-6	Existing Intersection Levels of Service, AM and PM Peak Hours	3.1-8
3.1-7	Intersections with Existing Level of Service E and F	3.1-9

3.1-8	Estimated Station Boarding by Mode in 2035 3.1-10
3.1-9	Estimated Future Passenger Mode of Access to Light Rail Stations
3.1-10	Estimated Demand and Supply for Park-and-Ride Spaces in 2035
3.1-11	Travel Time and Speed Data for Roadway, Bus, and Light Rail in 2018
3.1-12	Travel Time and Speed Data for Roadway, Bus, and Light Rail in 2035
3.1-13	CMP Level of Service Thresholds
3.1-14	Intersection Level of Service, Delay, and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio under the Light Rail Alternative, 2018 AM 3.1-20
3.1-15	Intersection Level of Service, Delay, and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio under the Light Rail Alternative, 2018 PM 3.1-21
3.1-16	Intersection Level of Service, Delay, and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio under the Light Rail Alternative, 2035 AM 3.1-22
3.1-17	Intersection Level of Service, Delay, and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio under the Light Rail Alternative, 2035 PM 3.1-23
3.2-1	Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards
3.2-2	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data Measured at the Tully Road Monitoring Station
3.2-3	Existing (2009), Interim (2018), and Design Year (2035) ADT along Capitol Expressway
3.2-4	Summary of MSAT Emissions (tons per year)
3.2-5	Modeled CO Concentrations for Existing (2009), Interim (2018), and Design Year (2030) Conditions
3.2-6	Summary of Operational Emissions (tons per year)
3.2-7	Summary of GHG Emissions from Electricity Usage (metric tons CO ₂ e ^a per year) ^b 3 2-21

3.2-8	Operations, Relative to the No-Build Alternative (metric tons CO ₂ e per year)	3.2-22
3.3-1	Biological Habitats within the Study Area	3.3-2
3.4-1	Inventory of Community Services and Facilities	3.4-2
3.7 1	Annual VMT (in millions) for Vehicle Operations by Mode (2035)	3.7-5
3.7-2	Direct and Total Energy Use by Transit and Auto Mode (millions of VMT)	3.7-6
3.7-3	Annual Direct and Total Energy Use (in Million BTUs) for Vehicle Operations by Mode and Alternative	3.7-9
3.7-4	Net Annual Direct and Total Energy Use (in Million BTUs) for Vehicle Operations by Mode and Alternative	3.7-12
3.12-1	FTA Detailed Assessment Criteria for Construction Noise	3.12-2
3.12-2	FTA Construction Damage Vibration Criteria	3.12-3
3.12-3	Comparison of Previous and Current Ambient Noise Exposure Levels	3.12-4
3.12-4	Summary of Noise Criteria Along the Capitol Expressway Alignment—Allowable Project Noise Levels	3.12-5
3.12-5	Locations of Aerial/Median Soundwalls	3.12-6
3.12-6	Summary of Noise Impacts Under the No-Build Alternative in 2035	3.12-8
3.12-7	Summary of Noise Impacts Under the Light Rail Alternative in 2035	3.12-9
3.12-8	Summary of Vibration Impacts Under the Light Rail Alternative in 2035	. 3.12-11
3.12-9	Location of Tire-Derived Aggregate Sections	. 3.12-13
3.17-1	Racial and Ethnic Characteristics of City of San Jose and Study Area	3.17-5

3.17-2	Low Income Characteristics of City of San Jose and the Study Area3.17	-6
3.18-1	Summary of Construction Emissions (pounds per day) 3.18	-5
4-1	Section 4(f) Resources: Public Parks and Recreation Areas	-4
4-2	Section 4(f) Resources: Historic Sites4	-5
4-3	Impacts on Section 4(f) Resources: Public Parks and Recreation Areas4	-7
5-1	Estimated Annual Operating and Maintenance Costs of the Proposed Alternatives for 2018 (Year of Expenditure Dollars)5	-2
5-2	Estimated Annual Operating and Maintenance Costs of the Proposed Alternatives for 2018 (Base Dollars)	-2

Figures

On or Follows Page

ES-1	Regional Location ES-2
2-1	Alternatives Evaluated in the MIS2-6
2-2	Baseline Alternative (2004 EIS/EIR)2-8
2-3a	Light Rail Alternative (2004 EIS/EIR)2-10
2-3b	Light Rail Alternative (2007 SEIR)2-10
2-3c	Light Rail Alternative (Current)2-12
2-4	No-Build Alternative2-12
2-5	Alum Rock Station2-14
2-6	Eastridge Station2-16
2-7	Overhead Contact System2-18
2-8	Main Construction Staging Area2-26
3-1	Capitol Expressway Study Area3-2
3.1-1	Existing Transit Network
3.1-2	Daily Passenger Activity
3.1-3	Light Rail Station Street Locations
3.1-4	Study Intersections
3.1-5	Alum Rock Transit Center
3.1-6	Capitol Expressway/Story Road Intersection

3.3-1a-b	Biological Habitats in the Project Vicinity	3.3-2
3.3-2	Silver Creek	3.3-4
3.3-3	Thompson Creek	3.3-4
3.4-1	Existing Community Features	3.4-4
3.8-1	Geologic and Seismic Features	3.8-2
3.10-1	Watershed Areas and Flood Hazard Zones	3.10-2
3.11-1	Typical Land Uses	3.11-2
3.11-2	Reid-Hillview Airport	3.11-2
3.11-3	Lake Cunningham	3.11-2
3.11-4	Eastridge Transit Center	3.11-2
3.11-5	Eastridge Shopping Mall	3.11-2
3.12-1	Noise Impact Criteria	3.12-2
3.12-2	Noise Measurement Locations	3.12-4
3.12-3	Aerial Soundwall Along the Vasona Light Rail Line at Hamilton Ave	3.12-6
3.12-4a	Locations of Noise Impacts	3.12-10
3.12-4b	Locations of Noise Impacts	3.12-10
3.12-5	Locations of Vibration Impacts	3.12-10
3.12-6	Application of Tire-Derived Aggregate along the Vasona Light Rail Line	3.12-13
3.14-1	Neighborhood Boundaries Map	3.14-2
3.14-2	Census Tracts along the Capitol Expressway Corridor	3.14-2
3.15-1	Ocala Avenue to Tully Road	3.15-2
3.15-2a-b	Proposed Changes to Electrical Transmission Facilities	3.15-4

3.16-1	Existing Views of Capitol Expressway 3.16-2
3.16-2	Conceptual Visual Simulation 1, Capitol Avenue 3.16-2
3.16-3	Conceptual Visual Simulation 2, Highwood Drive 3.16-4
3.16-4	Conceptual Visual Simulation 3, Highwood Drive 3.16-4
3.16-5	Conceptual Visual Simulation 4, Aerial of Story Road 3.16-4
3.16-6	Conceptual Visual Simulation 5, Story Road 3.16-4
3.16-7	Conceptual Visual Simulation 6, Ocala Avenue 3.16-4
3.16-8	Conceptual Visual Simulation 7, Tully Road 3.16-6
3.16-9	Conceptual Visual Simulation 8, Thompson Creek3.16-8
3.16-10	Conceptual Visual Simulation 9, Eastridge Transit Center
3.17-1	Environmental Justice and Minority Populations3.17-4
4-1	Existing Section 4(f) Resources

Acronyms and Abbreviations

2005 FEIR 2005 Capitol Expressway Corridor Final EIR

2007 SEIR 2007 Capitol Expressway Draft Supplemental EIR

AADT annual average daily traffic

AB32 Assembly Bill 32

ABAG Association of Bay Area Governments

ADT Average Daily Traffic

APE Area of Potential Effect

ARB California Air Resources Board
ATSC auto-tensioned simple catenary

BRT Bus Rapid Transit
BTU British Thermal Unit

CAA Clean Air Act

CAAA Clean Air Act 1990 Amendments

CAAQS California's ambient air quality standards

California CAA California Clean Air Act of 1988
CCR California Code of Regulations

CCTV Close-circuit television

CED California Electricity Demand

CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CIDH Cast in Drilled Hole
City City of San Jose

Clean Air Plan air quality attainment plan

CMP Congestion Management Plan

CO carbon monoxide
County Santa Clara County

CPUC California Public Utilities Commission

dB decibel

DC direct current

DEIS Draft Environmental Impact Statement

DHHS Department of Health and Human Services

DOT U.S. Department of Transportation

DTSC Department of Toxic Substances Control
EDR Environmental Data Resources Report

EMF electromagnetic field

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

FAA Federal Aviation Adminstration

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency
FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FHWA Federal Highway Administration
FTA Federal Transit Administration

GHG greenhouse gas
GWh gigawatt hours

HFCs hydroflourocarbons
HOT High Occupancy Toll
HSP health and safety plan

I-280, I-680 Interstate 280, Interstate 680

IAC interagency consultation

ICF ICF International

IOUs investor-owned utilities

kWh kilowatt hours L_{dn} Day-Night Level

L_{eq}[h] Equivalent Sound Level

LOS level of service
LRT Light Rail Transit

mG milligauss

MIS Major Investment Study

mpg miles per gallon

MSATs mobile-source air toxics

MT metric tons

MTC Metropolitan Transportation Commission
NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NHPA National Historic Preservation Act

NO₂ nitrogen dioxide

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

OCS Overhead Contact System

PFCs perfluorocarbons

PG&E Pacific Gas & Electric Company

PM particulate matter

PM10 particulate matter less than 10 microns
PM2.5 particulate matter less than 2.5 microns

POAQC Project of Air Quality Concern

ROG reactive organic gases

RTP regional transportation plan

SAFETEA-LU Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act:

A Legacy for Users

Section 106 Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as

amended

SF₆ sulfur hexafluoride

SHPO State Historic Preservation Officer

SIP State Implementation Plan
SMWP soil management work plan

SO₂ sulfur dioxide

SPCC spill prevention, containment, and clean-up

SR State Route

TDA Tire Derived Aggregates

TES Traction Electrification System

TIP transportation improvement program
TPSS Traction Power System and Substation
TSM Transportation System Management

TSPs tubular steel poles

U.S. 101 United States Route or Highway 101

V/C volume-to-capacity

VHT vehicle hours traveled

VMT vehicle miles traveled

VTP 2035 Valley Transportation Plan 2035

This page intentionally left blank.